Orthographic variation problems and the Japanese Wordnet

Takayuki KURIBAYASHI
Division of Linguistics and Multilingual Studies
Nanyang Technological University

At the setout

- What is "orthographic variation"?
  - Words can be written in more than one form
  - Orthographic variants have the same meaning and reading in common
- Not so many patterns In English

  e.g.

  center / centre

  color / colour

Source of orthographic variation problems in Japanese

The 3 scripts in Japanese

- Kanji ("漢字", Chinese character)
  - Ideogram
  - Sometimes has different shapes and combined in a string

    e.g. for *gakkou* (school)

    { New letter shape "学校"
    { Old letter shape "學校"
- Kana
  - Phonogram
  - 2 types
    - Katakana "カタカナ"
    - Hiragana "ひらがな"

Choice of the script(s)

- In modern Japanese, a word string usually consists of a single script or kanji + hiragana
- A choice of scripts depends on the writer and type of document
  - [”犬”, ”イヌ”, ”いぬ”] for ”dog”
  - In informal documents such as novels and blogs, it more depends on the writer

Kanji + hiragana string

- Kanjis often need okurigana (送り仮名, accompany letters)
  - In the first place, Japanese readings can not fit the kanji's original readings
  - Most kanjis have more than one meaning
    - Okurigana is needed to reduce the ambiguity

Examples of okurigana

- "重" oroginal readings: *juu*, *chou*
  - "重" *e, juu* *(numeral classifier)*
  - "重い" *<u>omo</u>-i* heavy
  - "重さ" *<u>omo</u>-sa* weight
  - "重ねる" *<u>kasa</u>-neru* pile
  - "重なる" *<u>kasa</u>-naru* overlap
  - "重ねて" *<u>kasa</u>-nete* again

Okurigana rules

- The Japanese government has issued a guideline for okurigana
  - But only reveals in newspapers, official documents, legal sentences, and so on
- No strict rule for usage in other kinds of writings
  - Conjugation part can not be omitted
    - "重い", ”重ねる”, ”重なる"
  - Not recommended to omit if the disambiguation is obstructed
    - Which does ?"重る" means?

Sources of orthgraphic variation (review)

- Freely decided which script to use
  - Scripts : kanji, katakana and hiragana
  - Kanjis often need okurigana
    - How many okuriganas to use is relatively free, too
  - Choices are depend on the type of the document and/or the writer’s liking

Other examples of variation

- ”おそろしい(*osoroshii*), terrible”

  ”恐ろしい”, ”恐しい”, ”オソロシイ”, ”おそろしい”

- ”ひふ(*hifu*), skin”

  ”皮膚”, ”皮フ”, ”皮ふ”, ”ヒフ”, ”ひふ”

- ”まぜあわせる(*mazeawaseru*), mix” consists of ”まぜる” & ”あわせる” = 32 variants
  - ”まぜる(*mazeru*), mix”

    ”混ぜる”, ”交ぜる”, ”雑ぜる”, ”混る”, ”交る”, ”雑る”, ”マゼル”, ”まぜる”

  - “あわせる(*awaseru*), combine”

    ”合わせる”, ”合せる”, ”アワセル”, ”あわせる”

Actual problems

Actual problems

1. Japanese Wordnet (JWN) 1.1 does not cover all the variants
   - Affect the coverages when annotating corpora
2. A variant sometimes appears in a synset, but misses in other synsets

   e.g. “吸い込む”

3. Are the numbers of synonyms and senses (synonym-synset pair) reasonable?

   we counted "吸い込む", "吸込む" separately

1.Strings not covered when annotating

- In a newspaper corpus (Kyoto University Text Corpus)
  - "防空ごう(*boukuu-gou)*, bombproof",
    we have "防空壕" in 02868638-n
  - "あやうい(ayaui), dangerous",
    we have "危うい" in 02058794-a
- In a novel
- In a old Japanese novel
  - Some Meiji era novelists preferred ”恐しい” than ”恐ろしい”?

Actual problems

1. Japanese Wordnet (JWN) 1.1 does not cover all the variants

   Affect the coverages when annotating corpora

2. A variant sometimes appears in a synset, but misses in other synsets

   e.g. ”吸い込む” appears in 6 synsets

   ”吸込む” appears in 5 synsets

3. Are the numbers of synonyms and senses (synonym-synset pair) reasonable?

   we counted "吸い込む", "吸込む" separately

Actual problems

1. Japanese Wordnet (JWN) 1.1 does not cover all the variants

    Affect the coverages when annotating corpora

2. A variant sometimes appears in a synset, but misses in other synsets

    e.g. “吸い込む”

3. Are the numbers of synonyms and senses (synonym-synset pair) reasonable?

    e.g. we counted "吸い込む", "吸込む" separately

To solve the problem

Our method

1. Create variant sets with help from open-licenced dictionaries
2. Apply the variant sets to JWN 1.1 synonyms
3. Hand check

➡ adding & grouping variants

Dictionaries

- 3 dictionaries
  - JUMANdic by Kyoto University
    - For their morphological analysis system JUMAN
    - Entries can be grouped by canonical form & reading
  - JMdict managed by EDRGD
    - Entries can be grouped by meaning & reading
  - IPAdic by NAIST
    - We hired merely to give reading the synonyms not in JUMANdic nor JMdict

Merging 2 dictionaries

- Merge the JUMANdic entries and JMdict entries that can be identified as the same word or its variants
  - e.g. ”荒らす(*arasu*), desolate”

{ JUMANdic: [荒らす, あらす]

JMdict : [荒らす, 荒す, あらす]

➡ merged : [荒らす, 荒す, あらす]

Giving reading

- Give the each merged set a katakana string as reading
- By converting the hiragana string in JMdict

  - e.g. ”あらす”

    ”あらす” → ”アラス”

Why do we need kana strings?

- Kana is made available as phonogram in Japanese, therefore adding reading information is equal to adding kana strings
- On top of that, the difference of reading can contribute Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD) in some cases
  - e.g. “面” can be read as:
    - a) “ツラ(*tsura*)”, ”オモテ(*omote*)”, ”メン(*men*)”
    - b) “メン(*men*)”

Giving reading (cont'd)

- If a synonym is not in JUMANdic nor JMdict, do morphological analysis and give them the readings with IPAdic
  - e.g. "情報機関(*jouhoukikan*), intelligent agent"

IPAdic: [情報, じょうほう] + [機関, きかん]

⬇

[情報機関, ジョウホウキカン, じょうほうきかん]

In case readings are not found

- Give the synonyms a tag that means ”its reading is unknown”

  e.g. “吹弾 (*suidan*), play in 01725051-v)”

  [吹弾, YOMI, YOMI]

Deciding display form

- Decide a display form for each variant set
  - We do not say "standard form" since no one can decide undisputed ones
  - Merely in order to create a key for each set
    - Show only one form when searching JWN
    - Use for sentence generating

表示表記決定優先度

1. Has the highest frequency --- N/A as of now
2. Agrees with JUMANdic's canonical form
3. Consists of more chinese characters
4. Consists of more new letter shape ones
5. Is longer if 1 ~ 4 can not settle

Create the key

- To make a variant set's ID, give each display form one digit
  - This is to deal with variant sets which have the same display form like ”面"
  - e.g. ”荒らす”

[荒らす 0, アラス, 荒す, あらす]
key reading

==> Hand check all variant sets (done)

Apply variant sets

- Apply the hand-checked variant sets to JWN 1.1 synonyms
  - when a synonym is in the variant sets, we apply the sets
    - e.g. ”面” appears in 6 variant sets and each JWN synset which has ”面” are applied 6 sets
- Hand check again to remove variant sets which are applied incorrectly

  e.g. ”面” in 03724870-n (”mask”)

  ◎ 面, メン, めん　　read as ”*men*”

  ✖ 面, ツラ, 頬, つら　　read as ”*tsura*”

Status of the JWN (as of Jan 2016)

- 91,961 unique words → 83,174 variant sets
  213,986 unique strings
- 158,074 senses (synset-synonym pairs) →
  148,005 synset-variant set pairs
  449,240 synset-string pairs

(the numbers include error correction)

Examples

- [**みみずく** 0 (ミミズク, 木兎, 角鴟, 木菟)]
- 02765464-v (”absorb”, ”take in”)

  JWN 1.1： 呑み込む, 呑みこむ, 呑込む, 吸引, 吸い込む,吸収

⬇

吸い込む　スイコム，吸込む，吸いこむ，すいこむ

吸収　キュウシュウ，きゅうしゅう

吸引　キュウイン，きゅういん

飲み込む　ノミコム，飲込む，呑み込む，呑込む，のみ込む，のみこむ

呑みこむ　ノミコム，のみこむ

Coverage (as of 2012)

	Total words	Content words	Covered content words	Coverage
Dancing Men	13,483	4,752	3,874	81.5%
			4,332	91.2%
Speckled Band	13,896	4,848	4,097	84.5%
			4,501	92.8%
Cathedral & Bazaar	18,067	7,509	5,858	78.0%
			6,618	88.1%
Kyoto Corpus (articles)	24,615	11,939	9,385	78.6%
			9,766	81.8%
Kyoto Corpus (editorial)	27,906	13,300	10,958	82.4%
			11,542	86.8%

Problems and future work

Increased ambiguity

1. The hand checking takes time
   - The data before checking contained many errors which come from ambiguity since we considered improving the coverage first
   - Especially kana strings increase ambiguity

     e.g. Each ”タイ (*tai*)” in JWN 1.1 is applied 10 variant sets before checking

Rare forms

2. A variant set contains rare forms in some cases and increase ambiguity

- Rare ones should be removed or suppressed to appear by using frequency data in the future

  e.g. ”頬” in the variant set ”面 (*tsura*)”

Need to further merge

3. Not all the variants are merged into each variant set
   - Target : strings which are not in JUMANdic nor JMdic
   - If the variant sets which appear in the same synset and have the same reading in common should be merged (such as ”呑みこむ” in 02765464-v, pp29)

Reading (kana strings) information is important also in this respect

Relationship with OMW

4. This attempt has proceeded independently of our Open Multilingual Wordnet
   - Error correction in both side independently
   - How to merge the data?

Conclusion

- We need to handle orthographic variants
- Without them, our coverage is poor
- We need to group variants
- We do this by
  - Find dictionar(ies) in which orthographic variants are grouped
  - Connect the dictionar(ies) to your Wordnet by reading information
  - Checking them