#### **HG4041** Theories of Grammar

## **Sign-Based Construction Grammar**

#### Francis Bond

#### **Division of Linguistics and Multilingual Studies**

http://www3.ntu.edu.sg/home/fcbond/bond@ieee.org

Lecture 16 Location: LHN-TR+36

## **Overview**

- > Chapter 16 framework (same analyses, different underlying system)
- ➤ General wrap up

## **Construction Grammar (CxG)**

- > A family of grammars based on the idea that
  - Knowledge of a language comes from form and function pairings
  - function includes meaning, content, or intent (both semantics and pragmatics)
  - > form includes phonology, syntax, orthography
- > CxG grew out of generative semantics and cognitive linguistics, by researchers such as Charles Fillmore, Paul Kay and George Lakoff
- ➤ Instead of language as a grammar+lexicon, think of it as a structured network of families of constructions

#### **Construction Grammars**

- Sign-based-Construction Grammar (Berkeley Construction Grammar) unification-based framework (with computational implementation)
- Goldbergian/Lakovian Construction Grammar psychologically plausible
- Radical Construction Grammar syntactic categories, roles, and relations are not universal: they are not only language-specific, but also construction specific
- Embodied Construction Grammar relates constructions to embodiment and sensorimotor experience
- > Fluid Construction Grammar learns grammars from the environment (with computational implementation)

## Overview of Differences (SBCG vs HPSG)

- ➤ Multiple Inheritance
- > Signs
- > Grammar rules form a hierarchy many more rules
- > Every tree node has its own phonology
- > Many principles become constraints on grammar rules
- > The definition of well-formedness is simplified

Look at Ian Sag's slides (from p25) if there is time after the presentations.

## Wrap Up

## Big picture: Our model

# HPSG Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar

- > Describes a set of strings
- > Associates semantic representations (and trees) with well-formed strings
  - > Is stated in terms of declarative constraints
  - ... which are order-independent
  - > Locates most constraints 'in the lexicon'
  - > Is stated in a precise fashion

#### Parts of our model

- > Type hierarchy (lexical types, other types)
- > Phrase structure rules
- > Lexical rules
- > Lexical entries
- > Grammatical principles
- ➤ Initial symbol

### Universals in our model

- > SHAC
- > Binding theory
- > Head-complement/-specifier/-modifier
- ➤ Head Feature Principle
- > Valence Principle
- > Semantic Compositionality Principle
- **>** ...

## **Design Goals of our Model**

- > Precise
- > Robust
- > Psychologically Plausible
- > Computationally Tractable

#### **Course overview**

- > Survey of some phenomena central to syntactic theory
- > Introduction to the HPSG framework
- > Process over product: How to build a grammar fragment
- > Value of precise formulation (and of getting a computer to do the tedious part for you!)

#### Reflection

- > What was the most surprising thing in this class?
- What do you think is most likely wrong?
- > What do you think is the coolest result?
- What do you think you're most likely to remember?
- > How do you think this course will influence your work as a (computational) linguist?

## **Overview**

- > Chapter 16 framework (same analyses, different underlying system)
- ➤ General wrap up