HG2002: Tutorial 9 Componential Analysis

Francis Bond <bond@ieee.org>

1. Using semantic components, analyze the following words:

$son,\ daughter,\ child,\ mother,\ father,\ parent,\ grandfather,\ grandmother,\ grandpather,\ grandmother,\ grandpather,\ grandmother,\ grandpather,\ grandmother,\ grandpather,\ gran$

Discuss whether a binary format would be an advantage here.

You may use two place relations in your descriptions (e.g. [SIBLING-OF[X,Y]]. If you speak a language that makes additional distinctions in this area, also describe them (e.g.

maternal grandmother, ...).

- 2. Which of the following participate in the causative/inchoative alternation.
 - (1) The goalkeeper bounced the ball.
 - (2) The assassin murdered the general.
 - (3) The waiter melted the chocolate.
 - (4) Charlie built the new swimming pool.
 - (5) The people lowered the boat.
 - (6) Kim worried Sandy.
 - (7) The censors destroyed the film.
 - (8) Jo dried the clothes.

For those verbs that do undergo the alternation, translate them into a language of your choice and report on whether the translations undergo a similar alternation.

- 3. Levin and Rapaport Hovav (1995: 102–5) argue that transitive verbs which do not undergo the **causative/inchoative alternation** need an intentional and volitional Agent. In contrast, verbs that undergo this alternation should also allow a non-Agent subject:
 - (a) John broke the window with a rock
 (b) The rock broke the window
 (c) The window broke
 Agent Subject
 Non-Agent (Instrument) Subject
 Inchoative Alternation

Test this hypothesis on the sentences from Question 2.

- 4. Consider the following semantic and syntactic tests for countability:
 - Semantic: Can it be divided and still use the same name (divisibility):
 - Mass: half some gold is gold
 - Count: half a dog is not a dog
 - Syntactic: does it co-occur with *much* or *many* (enumerability):
 - Mass: I don't have <u>much gold</u>
 - Count: I don't have <u>many_dogs</u>

Classify the following nouns using these tests:

monkey, program, software, chair, furniture, beer, icecream, curry, chocolate, chicken, salmon, potato, rice, oats, mink

Do the tests always give unique results? If not, why not?

Acknowledgments These questions are partially based on exercises from Saeed (2003).